



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,918	06/28/2001	Satoshi Kajiya	2611-0151P	3841

2292 7590 04/07/2004

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

CURS, NATHAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2633

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/892,918

Applicant(s)

KAJIYA ET AL.

Examiner

Nathan Curs

Art Unit

2633

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 June 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 12-25 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated (specification page 5, lines 21-24). See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 5, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ooi et al. (US Patent No. 6362913).

Regarding claim 5, Ooi et al. disclose an optical transmission apparatus for transmitting an optical pulse string having a frequency two times that of a driving signal, said optical transmission apparatus comprising: a Mach-Zehnder optical modulator (fig. 25, element 52); a light source which inputs an optical signal into said optical modulator (fig. 25, element 51); a driving unit which inputs the driving signal into said optical modulator (fig. 25, element 53); a converting unit which takes out a part of an optical signal output from said optical modulator and

Art Unit: 2633

converts that part of the optical signal into electric signal (fig. 25, elements 56 and 57a); an extracting unit which extracts a frequency component two times that of the driving signal included in the electric signal converted by said converting unit (fig. 25, element 2fo and col. 22, lines 24-36); an error signal generating unit which generates an error signal of a bias voltage for maximizing a value of the frequency component two times that of the driving signal extracted by said extracting unit (fig. 25 and col. 22, lines 24-36); and a bias voltage control unit which applies a bias voltage added with an error signal of the bias voltage to said optical modulator (fig. 25, element 58) (col. 22, lines 18-49).

Regarding claim 10, Ooi et al. disclose a bias voltage control method for an optical modulator to be used for an optical transmission apparatus that inputs an optical signal into a Mach-Zehnder optical modulator (fig. 25, element 52), applies a driving signal and a bias voltage to said optical modulator (fig. 25, elements 53 and 58), and transmits an optical pulse string having a frequency two times that of the driving signal (col. 22, lines 24-36), the method comprising the steps of: taking out a part of an optical signal output from said optical modulator and converting that part of the optical signal into electric signal (fig. 25, elements 56 and 57a); extracting a frequency component two times that of the driving signal from the obtained electric signal (fig. 25, element 2fo and col. 22, lines 24-36); generating an error signal of a bias voltage for maximizing a value of the frequency component two times that of the driving signal (fig. 25 and col. 22, lines 24-36); and applying a bias voltage obtained as a result of addition of the bias voltage and a voltage corresponding to the error signal to said optical modulator (fig. 25, element 58) (col. 22, lines 18-49).

Art Unit: 2633

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admission of prior art. When the applicant states that something is conventional, it is taken as being available as prior art against the claims. Admitted prior art can be used in obviousness rejections.

Regarding claim 1, the applicant's specification discloses as conventional an optical transmission apparatus for transmitting an optical pulse string having a frequency two times that of a driving signal, said optical transmission apparatus comprising: a Mach-Zehnder optical modulator; a light source which inputs an optical signal into said optical modulator; a driving unit which inputs the driving signal into the optical modulator; a converting unit which takes out a part of an optical signal output from said optical modulator and converts that part of the optical signal into electric signal; an extracting unit which extracts a frequency component of the driving signal included in the electric signal converted by said converting unit; an error signal generating unit which generates an error signal of a bias voltage for minimizing a value of a frequency component of the driving signal extracted by said extracting unit; and a bias voltage control unit which applies a bias voltage obtained as a result of addition of the bias voltage and a voltage corresponding to the error signal to said optical modulator (figs. 12-15 and page 2, line 23 to page 9, line 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the claimed apparatus is conventional, as disclosed by the applicant.

Regarding claim 4, the applicant's specification discloses as conventional the optical transmission apparatus according to claim 1 further comprising a dither signal generating unit

Art Unit: 2633

which generates a dither signal that is input into the error signal generating unit and the bias voltage control unit, wherein said error signal generating unit carries out a synchronous detection by multiplying a dither signal to a frequency component of a driving signal or a frequency component two times that of the driving signal extracted by said extracting unit, and outputs a result of this synchronous detection to the bias voltage control unit as an error signal of the bias voltage, and said bias voltage control unit applies to said optical modulator a signal obtained by super imposing the error signal of the bias voltage with the bias voltage and the dither signal (figs. 12, elements 112 and 117 and page 2, line 23 to page 5, line 20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the claimed apparatus is conventional, as disclosed by the applicant.

Regarding claim 9, the applicant's specification discloses as conventional a bias voltage control method for an optical modulator to be used for an optical transmission apparatus that inputs an optical signal into a Mach-Zehnder optical modulator, applies a driving signal and a bias voltage to said optical modulator, and transmits an optical pulse string having a frequency two times that of the driving signal, the method comprising the steps of: taking out a part of an optical signal output from said optical modulator and converting that part of the optical signal into electric signal; extracting a frequency component of the driving signal from the obtained electric signal; generating an error signal of a bias voltage for minimizing a value of the frequency component of the driving signal; and applying a bias voltage obtained as a result of addition of the bias voltage and a voltage corresponding to the error signal to said optical modulator (figs. 12-15 and page 2, line 23 to page 9, line 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the claimed apparatus is conventional, as disclosed by the applicant.

Art Unit: 2633

6. Claims 1, 4, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ooi et al. (US Patent No. 6362913).

Regarding claim 1, Ooi et al. disclose an optical transmission apparatus for transmitting an optical pulse string having a frequency two times that of a driving signal, said optical transmission apparatus comprising: a Mach-Zehnder optical modulator (fig. 34, element 2); a light source which inputs an optical signal into said optical modulator (fig. 34, element 1); a driving unit which inputs the driving signal into the optical modulator (fig. 34, element 4); a converting unit which takes out a part of an optical signal output from said optical modulator and converts that part of the optical signal into electric signal (fig. 34, elements 7 and 8); an extracting unit (fig. 34, elements 8 and 9); an error signal generating unit which generates an error signal of a bias voltage for minimizing a value of a frequency component of the driving signal extracted by said extracting unit (fig. 34, element 10); and a bias voltage control unit which applies a bias voltage to said optical modulator (fig. 34, element 12) (col. 3, line 5 to col. 4, line 2). In the figure 34 embodiment, Ooi et al. do not disclose an extracting unit which extracts a frequency component of the driving signal included in the electric signal converted by said converting unit; however this feature is disclosed in another embodiment (fig. 7, elements 57a and 57b and col. 14, line 64-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the bandpass filter of the figure 7 embodiment in the figure 34 embodiment as well, to raise the precision of the phase comparator, as taught by Ooi et al. Also, in the figure 34 embodiment, Ooi et al. do not disclose that the bias voltage is obtained as a result of addition of the bias voltage and a voltage corresponding to the error signal to said optical modulator; however, this feature is disclosed in another embodiment (fig. 7, element 58, inset; col. 15, lines 1-16 and col. 16, lines 2-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the bias control circuit disclosed for the figure 7

embodiment in the figure 34 embodiment as well, in order to control the bias voltage in a direction such that the low frequency signal component will be come zero, as taught by Ooi et al.

Regarding claims 4 and 8, Ooi et al. disclose the optical transmission apparatus according to claims 1 and 5 respectively, further comprising a dither signal generating unit which generates a dither signal that is input into the error signal generating unit (fig. 34, element 5 and fig. 25, elements 54 and 73), wherein said error signal generating unit carries out a synchronous detection by comparing a dither signal to a frequency component of a driving signal or a frequency component two times that of the driving signal extracted by said extracting unit, and outputs a result of this synchronous detection to the bias voltage control unit as an error signal of the bias voltage, and said bias voltage control unit applies to said optical modulator a signal obtained by super imposing the error signal of the bias voltage with the bias voltage (col. 3, line 5 to col. 4, line 2). Ooi et al. disclose outputting a phase difference between the dither frequency signal and the extracted frequency component (col. 3, lines 21-26 and col. 22, lines 24-36), but do not disclose multiplying the dither signal with the frequency component of the driving signal. However, Ooi et al. also disclose extracting and isolating the frequency component of the driving signal in the transmission signal using a bandpass filter (col. 14, lines 64-67) and therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the phase difference could be achieved by multiplying the dither frequency signal by the isolated frequency component of the extracted signal to get a phase difference result. Also, Ooi et al. disclose superimposing the dither signal onto the driving signal, and disclose the driving signal and the bias voltage applied to the same modulator electrode, but do not disclose input the dither signal to the bias voltage control unit. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the dither signal could

be applied to either the driving signal or the bias voltage of Ooi et al., in order to superimpose the bias signal on the transmission signal during the modulation of Ooi et al, since both the driving signal and bias voltage are applied to the same modulator electrode.

Regarding claim 9, Ooi et al. disclose a bias voltage control method for an optical modulator to be used for an optical transmission apparatus that inputs an optical signal into a Mach-Zehnder optical modulator (fig. 34, element 2), applies a driving signal and a bias voltage to said optical modulator (fig. 34, elements 4 and 12), and transmits an optical pulse string having a frequency two times that of the driving signal (col. 3, lines 42-52), the method comprising the steps of: taking out a part of an optical signal output from said optical modulator and converting that part of the optical signal into electric signal (fig. 34, elements 7 and 8); extracting a component of the output signal (fig. 34, elements 8 and 9); generating an error signal of a bias voltage for minimizing a value of the frequency component of the driving signal (fig. 34, element 10); and applying a bias voltage to said optical modulator (fig. 34, element 12) (col. 3, line 5 to col. 4, line 2). In the figure 34 embodiment, Ooi et al. do not disclose an extracting unit which extracts a frequency component of the driving signal included in the electric signal converted by said converting unit; however this feature is disclosed in another embodiment (fig. 7, elements 57a and 57b and col. 14, line 64-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the bandpass filter of the figure 7 embodiment in the figure 34 embodiment as well, to raise the precision of the phase comparator, as taught by Ooi et al. Also, in the figure 34 embodiment, Ooi et al. do not disclose that the bias voltage is obtained as a result of addition of the bias voltage and a voltage corresponding to the error signal to said optical modulator; however, this feature is disclosed in another embodiment (fig. 7, element 58, inset; col. 15, lines 1-16 and col. 16, lines 2-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the

Art Unit: 2633

bias control circuit disclosed for the figure 7 embodiment in the figure 34 embodiment as well, in order to control the bias voltage in a direction such that the low frequency signal component will be come zero, as taught by Ooi et al.

7. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ooi et al. (US Patent No. 6362913) in view of Miyamoto et al. (US Patent No. 6559996).

Regarding claims 2 and 6, Ooi et al. disclose the optical transmission apparatus and method according to claims 1 and 5 respectively, but do not disclose that said light source generates a modulated optical pulse synchronous with the driving signal and having a bit rate two times that of the driving signal, and supplies the optical pulse to said optical modulator, and said optical modulator pulse modulates the optical pulse with the driving signal and outputs the modulated optical pulse. Miyamoto et al. disclose an optical source modulated by a clock signal synchronized with a transmission rate, and then modulated by a data signal, the double modulation producing an RZ signal (fig. 26 and col. 13, line 63 to col. 14, line 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a modulated source signal prior to the existing modulator in the system of Ooi et al., in order to be able to produce an RZ transmission signal, for better transmission performance and longer transmission distance, as taught by Miyamoto et al. (col. 1, lines 30-51).

8. Claims 3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ooi et al. (US Patent No. 6362913) in view of Jabr (US Patent No. 6229632).

Regarding claims 3 and 7, Ooi et al. disclose the optical transmission apparatus and method according to claims 1 and 5 respectively, but does not disclose that said light source includes a plurality of single-wavelength light sources each of which emits light having different

Art Unit: 2633


single-wavelength, said optical transmission apparatus further comprising an optical filter, provided at the front stage of said converting unit, which transmits light having only a desired wavelength out of the lights having different wavelength emitted by said single-wavelength light sources that constitute an optical signal output from said optical modulator. Jabr disclose an optical modulator based transmitter for improving signal to noise ratio that uses a plurality of single-wavelength light sources having different wavelengths, modulating the wavelengths with a MZ modulator, followed by filtering and recombination of the wavelengths (fig. 1 and col. 2, line 43 to col. 3, line 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the plural wavelength source transmission method of Jabr with the stabilized bias voltage modulator of Ooi et al. to improve the signal to noise ratio of the transmission of the Ooi et al. system, as taught by Jabr. In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, in order to properly maintain the bias stabilization function of Ooi et al. when combining with the teaching of Jabr, to add a single wavelength filter at the front of the converting unit of Ooi et al., to filter out one wavelength of the plural wavelength transmission, either to extract the low frequency component if one only source wavelength were to carry the component, or to extract the component from only one wavelength if both wavelengths were to carry the component to avoid any phase cancellation of the component that could occur from extracting the same component from both wavelengths without filtering.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to N. Curs whose telephone number is (703) 305-0370. The examiner can normally be reached M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

Art Unit: 2633

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan, can be reached at (703) 305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.



JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600